

United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington
Magistrate Judge Mary K. Dimke
Richland

USA v. MONICA PESINA

Case No. 2:20-CR-00005-SMJ-1

Detention Hearing:

02/18/2020

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sara Gore, Courtroom Deputy [R] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Stephanie Van Marter, US Atty |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pam Howard, Courtroom Deputy [Tele] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adam Pechtel, Defense Atty |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Erica Helms, US Probation / Pretrial Services [Tele] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interpreter NOT REQUIRED |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant present <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in custody USM
<input type="checkbox"/> out of custody | <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant not present / failed to appear |

-
- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant continued detained | <input type="checkbox"/> Conditions of Release imposed |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> 199C Advice of Penalties/Sanctions |

REMARKS

Defense advises the Court that he will remain as attorney of record, there was a conflict with the attorney the defendant previously wanted to retain for counsel.

USA proffered the pretrial services report and concurs with its recommendation of continued detention of the Defendant.

USA argued why the Court should detain the Defendant and why there are no conditions of release which will reasonably assure Defendant's appearance as required and/or the safety of the community.

Colloquy between Court and USA re update on the 19-cr-6063-SMJ-1 case.

Defense argues factual clarifications regarding the USA's proffer on the facts of the case.

Defense counsel argued why the Defendant should be released with conditions from the original case be imposed.

USA advises the court of Judge Mendoza's findings in the 19-CR-6063-SMJ-1 case, (ECF No.77). There is no new information to that will reasonably assure Defendant's appearance.

Defense request a recess until the docket is over.

Recess
Reconvene

Defense motions the Court for a two-week continuance to be able to put on evidence.

USA argues fact, has no objection but will be requesting the transcript from the hearing on 19-CR-6063-SMJ -1.

The Court ordered:

1. Defense Oral Motion to Continue Detention Hearing is **granted**.
2. Defendant shall be detained by the U.S. Marshal until further order of the Court.

**Detention Hearing (1st Cont.)
03/17/2020@ 2:30 p.m. [R/MKD]**